

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Thu, 05 Apr 2007 14:38:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, Apr 05, 2007 at 07:13:37AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

> > ns should have a refcount of 1 to begin with.

>

> Right - that's my point, you're effectively passing the initial  
> refcount of the nsproxy to the container directory's d\_fsdata  
> reference.

sure ..

> > Basically I am struggling to answer "How can a zero refcount (beancounter)  
> > object go non-zero when zero tasks are attached to it" ..

>

> In that case, I think you're fine. Your last posted patches didn't  
> provide a way to check for that, though, as far as I could see.

Yes, the last patch I posted is very primitive considering all the discussions we had ..For ex: attach\_task() in the last patch has more bugs [apart from the exit race I found recently with cpusets/containers] Each time I stopped and stared at attach\_task() I could find a bug ..

--

Regards,  
vatsa

---