

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Wed, 04 Apr 2007 04:04:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 4/3/07, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@in.ibm.com> wrote:

> User space queries like "what is the cpuset to which this task belongs",  
> where the answer needs to be something of the form "/dev/cpuset/C1"?  
> The patches address that requirement atm by having a dentry pointer in  
> struct cpuset itself.

Have you posted the cpuset implementation over your system yet?

The drawback to that is that every subsystem has to add a dentry to its state, and handle the processing.

>  
> Do you see similar queries coming in for every resource controller object  
> (show me the path of cpu\_acct, cpu\_ctl, rss\_ctl ... objects to which this  
> task belongs)? IMO that will not be the case, in which case we can avoid  
> adding N pointers (N = max hierarchies) in nsproxy just to support queries of  
> those sort.

OK, I see your argument that putting it in the aggregator probably isn't the best thing to do from a space point of view in the case when the number of aggregators

This seems like a place where my container\_subsys\_state object is useful - it can store a pointer to the container object (and be maintained by the generic container system), at a space cost of 1 pointer per subsystem grouping, rather than N pointers per aggregator.

Paul

---