Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem
Posted by Paul Menage on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 17:29:01 GMT

On 4/3/07, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@in.ibm.com> wrote:
> On Tue, Apr 03, 2007 at 10:10:35AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:
> > Agreed. So I'm not saying it's fundamentally a bad idea - just that
> > merging container_group and nsproxy is a fairly simple space
> > optimization that could easily be done later.
>
> IMHO, if we agree that space optimization is important, then its better
> we tackle it right at design phase, rather than ripping it out later ..
>

"Premature optimization is the root of all evil".

I think we're in agreement that there's value in having an aggregator
to avoid every task having to have a forest of pointers. But it
wouldn't be a major design change to switch that aggregator from being
container_group to being nsproxy at some later point.

Paul