Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem
Posted by Srivatsa Vaddagiri on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 17:06:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, Apr 03, 2007 at 09:52:35AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

- > I'm not saying "let's use nsproxy" I'm not yet convinced that the
- > lifetime/mutation/correlation rate of a pointer in an nsproxy is
- > likely to be the same as for a container subsystem; if not, then
- > reusing nsproxy could actually increase space overheads (since you'd
- > end up with more, larger nsproxy objects, compared to smaller numbers
- > of smaller nsproxy objects and smaller numbers of smaller
- > container_group objects), even though it saved (just) one pointer per
- > task_struct.

Even if nsproxy objects are made larger a bit, the number of such object will be -much- lesser compared to number of task_structs I would think, so the win/lose in space savings would need to take that into account.

Regards, vatsa