Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem
Posted by Srivatsa Vaddagiri on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 16:16:04 GMT

On Tue, Apr 03, 2007 at 08:45:37AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

- > Whilst I've got no objection in general to using nsproxy rather than
- > the container_group object that I introduced in my latest patches, I
- > think that Vatsa's approach of losing the general container object is
- > flawed, since it loses any kind of per-group generic state (e.g. "this
- > container is being deleted")

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think I should post the updated version what I have soon. It handles the "container/task group being deleted" case well, by setting task/ns_proxy->count = 0. The only problem I need to resolve is notify_on_release support (and also I haven't looked at the resource conters patches yet).

- > and last time I saw it, I think it would
- > tend to lose processes so that they didn't show up in any directory in
- > the container fs.

I think these are fixed in the latest version I have. Will send out to you later this week (as soon as I drag myself off a higher prio task!).

Regards, vatsa