
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [dev](#) on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 16:14:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 4/3/07, Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>

>>But frankly I don't know where we stand right now wrt the containers
>>patches. Do most people want to go with Vatsa's latest version moving
>>containers into nsproxy? Has any other development been going on?
>>Paul, have you made any updates?

>

>

> I've not made major changes since the last patch post, just some small
> optimizations and fixes - I've been too tied up with other stuff.

>

> Whilst I've got no objection in general to using nsproxy rather than
> the container_group object that I introduced in my latest patches, I
> think that Vatsa's approach of losing the general container object is
> flawed, since it loses any kind of per-group generic state (e.g. "this
> container is being deleted") and last time I saw it, I think it would
> tend to lose processes so that they didn't show up in any directory in
> the container fs.

Sounds reasonable.

Pavel is preparing new RSS patches on top of your patches
which take into account other comments and Andrew objections.

Can we cooperate to send it altogher then?

Thanks,
Kirill
