

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Tue, 03 Apr 2007 15:45:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 4/3/07, Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>

> But frankly I don't know where we stand right now wrt the containers  
> patches. Do most people want to go with Vatsa's latest version moving  
> containers into nsproxy? Has any other development been going on?  
> Paul, have you made any updates?

I've not made major changes since the last patch post, just some small optimizations and fixes - I've been too tied up with other stuff.

Whilst I've got no objection in general to using nsproxy rather than the container\_group object that I introduced in my latest patches, I think that Vatsa's approach of losing the general container object is flawed, since it loses any kind of per-group generic state (e.g. "this container is being deleted") and last time I saw it, I think it would tend to lose processes so that they didn't show up in any directory in the container fs.

Paul

---