
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] Introduce cpuid_on_cpu() and cpuid_eax_on_cpu()
Posted by [Andi Kleen](#) on Mon, 02 Apr 2007 12:10:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Monday 02 April 2007 13:38, Alexey Dobriyan wrote:

> They will be used by cpuid driver and powernow-k8 cpufreq driver.

>

> With these changes powernow-k8 driver could run correctly on OpenVZ kernels

> with virtual cpus enabled (SCHED_VCPU).

This means openvz has multiple virtual CPU levels? One for cpuid/rdmsr and one for the rest of the kernel? Both powernow-k8 and cpuid attempt to schedule to the target CPU so they should already run there. But it is some other CPU, but when they ask your _on_cpu() functions they suddenly get a "real" CPU? Where is the difference between these levels of virtualness?

That sounds quite fragile and will likely break often. I just rejected a similar concept -- virtual nodes and "physical nodes" for similar reasons.

Also it has weird semantics. For example if you have multiple virtual CPUs mapping to a single CPU then would the powernow-k8 driver try to set the frequency multiple times on the same physical CPU? That might go wrong actually because the CPU might not be happy to be poked again while it is already in a frequency change. Also there is no locking so in theory two vcpus might try to change frequency in parallel with probably quite bad effects.

I'm sure there are other scenarios with similar problems. e.g. what happens with microcode updates etc.?

Before adding any hacks like this I think your vcpu concept needs to be discussed properly on l-k. For me it doesn't look like it is something good right now though.

-Andi
